

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,883	04/10/2001	Scott A. Rawson	IR-2819(MF)	8545
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMINER	
Edward F Murphy III			KING, BRADLEY T	
Lord Corporation Post Office Box 8012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cary, NC 27512-8012			3683	
		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/829,883	RAWSON, SCOTT A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley T King	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Most cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	July 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal m <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 0	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,10-13,17,18,20 and 21</u> is/are per	nding in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	- , ,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 10-13, 17-18, 20-21</u> is/are rejecto	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· -	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		-
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/829,883

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 10, 12-13, 17-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Efromson et al (US 2538955).

Efromson et al discloses a vibration isolation member including: an inner member 14 having a frustoconical seat having an angled surface 15 and an outer periphery diameter, an outer member having a base 10 and a shroud 12 that extends away from the base, the shroud adapted to overlay the inner member, the shroud having an angled segment with an inner surface, the angled segment inner surface oriented substantially parallel to the angled surface of the frustoconical seat, the shroud defining an inner periphery diameter D", and consisting essentially of a single resilient sole member 16 constrained between the shroud angled segment inner surface and the inner member frustoconical seat angled surface, the single resilient member having a substantially trapezoidal cross section, the single resilient member bonded to the shroud angled segment inner surface and the inner member frustoconical seat angled surface and the inner member frustoconical seat angled surface provides

Application/Control Number: 09/829,883

Art Unit: 3683

for displacement of the inner member in a radial direction and in an axial direction from the outer member, and the single sole resilient member is the sole resilient member providing for isolation between the suspended body and the support structure with the iso-elastic vibration isolation member providing a substantially equal dynamic stiffness in the radial direction and in the axial direction for an applied load between the suspended body and the support structure. Efromson et al further teach an embodiment (figure 4) where the inner member has a larger diameter than the opening of the shroud such that separation of the device is prevented (column 5, lines 1-5, but lack the explicit disclosure of both features in combination. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an interference as illustrated in figure 4 in the mount of figure 3 to prevent full separation of the two members, thereby increasing the safety of the device.

Regarding claim 12, see column 1, lines 1-5.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Efromson et al (US#2538955) as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Nowak et al (US#5116030).

Efromson et al, as applied to claim 1, disclose all the limitations of the instant claim with exception of the use of a silicone or synthetic rubber material. Efromson et al disclose rubber and rubber-like materials (column 3, lines 66-69). Rubber, Silicone and synthetic rubber materials are well known in the art. For instance, Nowak et al demonstrate a similar mount made of a silicone containing elastomer (column 5, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/829,883

Art Unit: 3683

18-20). Material selection is also well known in the art and a necessary part of

engineering design. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to select a synthetic rubber or silicone material for the

mount of Efromson et al to provide the proper spring characteristics and resistance to

environmental factors required for a given application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the instant claims have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley T King whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8346. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

BTK

October 19, 2003

JACK LAVINDER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500